

CATEGORY	Unacceptable-0	Marginal-1	Fair-2	Good-3	Excellent-4
Introduction / motivation	Topic was introduced with insufficient background information. Motivation for project was not convincing.	Topic was introduced with sufficient background information. Motivation for project was not convincing.	Topic was introduced with sufficient background information. Motivation for project was not as convincing.	Topic was introduced with sufficient background information. Convincing motivation for project presented, including qualitative impacts or effects.	Topic was introduced with sufficient background information. Convincing motivation for project presented, including quantitative impacts or effects.
Objectives	Does not appear to be any objectives for the project.	Objectives of the project were not explicitly stated, nor implied.	Objectives of the project were not explicitly stated, but were implied.	Objectives of the project were explicitly stated, but not clearly explained.	Objectives of the project were explicitly stated and clearly explained.
Clarity of the presentation	Main concepts that were key to the presentation were unclear and not understandable.	Main concepts of the presentation were understandable, but many details were unclear.	Main concepts of the presentation were understandable, but some details were unclear.	Most of the presentation was understood (85% to 95%).	Everything explained clearly: 95% to 100% of the presentation was understood.
Technical material	Glaring technical inaccuracies.	Mostly accurate, with many minor technical inaccuracies.	Mostly accurate, with some minor technical inaccuracies.	Mostly accurate, with some very minor details overlooked.	All information presented was accurate.
Oral presentation delivery	Inadequate volume. Very little eye contact with the audience. Seemed unconfident and unprepared.	Adequate volume. Eye contact could be improved. Sometimes hard to understand what the speaker said.	Adequate volume. Eye contact could be improved. Spoke clearly, but a little too quickly or too slowly.	Adequate volume and eye contact. Spoke clearly, and at a good pace. Presented a confident image.	Adequate volume and eye contact. Spoke clearly, and at a good pace. Presented a confident image. Dynamic speaker that kept the audience engaged.
Presentation	Slides have too much or too little text and/or figures. Font size and/or contrast made it difficult to read text or figures. Not all sources properly cited. Significant typos or inconsistencies.	Some slides have too much or too little text and/or figures. Most fonts legible. Contrast of some fonts and figures was insufficient. All sources properly cited. Significant typos or inconsistencies.	Some slides have too much or too little text and/or figures. All fonts legible. Contrast of fonts and figures was sufficient. All sources properly cited. Some typos or inconsistencies.	Simple, clear slides without too much or too little text and/or figures. All fonts legible. Contrast of fonts and figures was sufficient. All sources properly cited. Some typos or inconsistencies.	Simple, clear slides without too much or too little text and/or figures. All fonts legible. Contrast of fonts and figures was sufficient. All sources properly cited. No typos or other errors.
Questions	Answered questions incorrectly, or failed to address most questions that were asked.	Sometimes failed to address the question that was asked.	Answered questions politely. Sometimes failed to address the question that was asked.	Answered questions correctly and politely.	Answered questions succinctly, correctly, and politely.
Overall	Unacceptable in one or more of the previous categories	Marginal in two or more of the previous categories	"Fair" in the majority of the categories, with nothing worse than a "marginal" in any category.	"Good" or better in the majority of the categories, with nothing worse than a "fair" in any category.	Excellent in the majority of the categories, with nothing worse than a "good" in any category.

Mahalo to Dr. Aaron Ohta